Brief report

Attitude to evidence-based practice amongst international thoracic surgeons: preliminary observations from a web-based survey

Alexandro Patirelis^{1,2}, Vincenzo Ambrogi^{1,2}, Sebastiano Angelo Bastone^{1,2}, Gianluca Vanni¹, Federico Tacconi^{1,2*} ¹Department of Surgery, Tor Vergata University Polyclinic, Viale Oxford 81, 00133, Rome, Italy Rome, Italy

²Unit of Thoracic Surgery, Tor Vergata University Polyclinic, Viale Oxford 81, 00133, Rome, Italy Rome, Italy

Abstract

Evidence-based medicine is a process to de-emphasize the role of intuition and anecdotal experiences as a guide to clinical practice. We performed a survey to assess the comprehension and attitude toward evidence -based practice amongst a group of thoracic surgeons. There were 38 responders out of 151 surgeons. Up to 23% of responders failed in giving the correct definition of evidence-based medicine. Factors correlated with wrong definition were lower surgical volume and poor attendance to international meetings. Our survey suggests that more focus on evidence-based medicine concepts should be put during surgical training.

Graphical abstract

meetings (p=0.036)

Attitude to evidence-based practice amongst international thoracic surgeons: preliminary observations from a web-based survey

Alexandro Patirelis, Vincenzo Ambrogi, Sebastiano Angelo Bastone, Gianluca Vanni, Federico Tacconi, Rome, Italy

35		Definition of Evidence Dased Medicine	
30			
25	CORRECT		
20		WRONG	
15			
10			http://hvt-ournal.com/art562
5			doi: 10.24969/hvt.2025.562
0	4- 220/ -f	s failed in airting the second definition of	
op	dence-based medici	ne. Factors correlated with wrong definition were	

lower surgical volume (p=0.012) and poor attendance to international

Definition of Evidence-Based Medicine

Key words: Evidence-based medicine; thoracic surgery; surgical training; survey; medical education (Heart Vessels Transplant 2025; 9: doi: 10.24969/hvt.2025.562)

Address for Correspondence: Federico Tacconi, Unit of Thoracic Surgery, Tor Vergata University Polyclinic, Viale Oxford, 81, 00133 Rome, Italy

E-mail:tacconifederico@gmail.com Phone:+3906-20902884 Fax:+3906-20902883

ORCID: Federico Tacconi - 0000-0001-8850-5027; Alexandro Patirelis - 0009-0009-7409-8470; Gianluca Vanni - 0000-0002-3006-5855; Vincenzo Ambrogi - 0000-0002-8947-3175

Citation: Patirelis A, Ambrogi V, Bastone SA, Vanni G, Tacconi F. Attitude to evidence-based practice amongst international thoracic surgeons: preliminary observations from a web-based survey. Heart Vessels Transplant 2025; 9: doi: 10.24969/hvt.2025.562

Received: 01.03.2025 Revised: 14.04.2025 Accepted: 15.04.2025 Copyright © 2025 Heart, Vessels and Transplantation

Introduction

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) can be conceptualized as a process to de-emphasize the role of intuition, pathophysiological reasoning, and anecdotal experiences as a guide to clinical practice (1, 2). Since its introduction, adherence to EBM is being fostered by an increasing number of health-care institutions. However, there are still barriers to its complete acceptance (3, 4). One of the main sources of skepticism is the poor awareness of EBM's basic principles, which - in turn - might generate misconceptions about EBM's objectives and limitations.

In this cross-sectional survey, we sought to assess the extent of comprehension and attitude toward EBM practice amongst thoracic surgeons.

Methods

Study design – cross-sectional survey

International thoracic surgeons at different job level were reached by either instant messaging application or email. They were provided with link to a 20-item equestionnaire, and the answers were saved anonymously. The study adhered in many points to the Consensus-Based Checklist for Reporting Survey Studies, as recommended by the EQUATOR Network (5). The only exception was that the questionnaire had no previous validation, as it included well-defined concepts rather than constructs.

There were both single-answer/multiple-choice questions and rating-scale questions. The main survey's objective was to assess how many responders succeeded at correctly defining EBM as a *"practice founded on high-quality clinical studies"*.

Other questions assessed the time devoted to continuous medical education, the number of attended international meetings, and engagement in quality improvement initiatives. Two questions measured the individual surgical volume, and the preferred surgical approach for lung resections. Two questions assessed how likely the responder was to offer surgery in debatable circumstances, that is, single lung metastasis and upfront left-upper lobectomy for cT1bN2a non-small cell lung cancer. Other questions regarding institutional and government support to EBM practice were not analyzed for the present study. Secondary outcomes were the correlations between correct EBM definition and other variables of interest. To this purpose, the Cramer's V test was applied after dichotomization into binary categories. A *p*-level <0.05 was regarded as significant.

Results

There were 38 responders out of 151 surgeons. Table 1 shows general features of survey's populations and the complete list of questions. About 60% of participants were associate specialists or consultants and about 70% of them were under 50 years old. The majority of them worked in public and academic hospitals (26/38, 68.4%) and revealed that they were asked for mandatory training or updates (24/38, 63.2%). Impressively, up to 23% of responders failed at correctly defining EBM. In particular, 13 % thought that EBM is founded on *"traditional medical habits"*, while 5% felt that EBM is strictly linked to *"bench sciences and laboratory data"*.

Table 1. Answers to web-based questionnaire			
Questions	Answers, n (%)		
Age group, years			
25-30	9 (23.7)		
31-40	11 (28.9)		
41-50	10 (26.3)		
51-60	6 (15.8)		
>60	2 (5.3)		
Position			
Associate specialist	8 (21.1)		
Consultant	15 (39.5)		
Resident/Fellow	11 (28.9)		
PGY1-2	4 (10.5)		
Definition of EBM			
A medical practice founded on observations $$ coming from good quality clinical studies (\checkmark)	29 (76.3)		
A medical practice founded on well known and consolidated habits, rather than on innovations (X)	2 (5.3)		
A medical practice founded on uncontrovertible findings from bench science and laboratory data (X)	7 (18.4)		
Continues on page XX			

Patirelis et al.

Continued from page XXX			
Table 1. Answers to web-based questionnaire			
Questions	Answers, n (%)		
International meetings attended pervear			
	18 (17 1)		
	10(47.4) 19(47.4)		
2-5	10(47.4)		
	0 (0.0)		
4-5	1 (2.6)		
	1 (2.6)		
Periodical revalidation or appraisal of license			
Yes	16 (42.1)		
No	22 (57.9)		
Surgical operations per year as first operator			
<40	8 (21.1)		
41-60	11 (28.9)		
61-80	8 (21.1)		
81-100	4 (10.5)		
>100	7 (18.4)		
Current hospital			
Public and academic	26 (68.4)		
Public and non-academic	5 (13.2)		
Private and academic	5 (13.2)		
Private and non-academic	1 (2.6)		
Mixed/other	1 (2.6)		
Mandatony training (undatos	1 (2.0)		
Vac	24(62.2)		
No.	24 (03.2)		
	14 (50.6)		
Preferred approach for major lung resections	24 (55 2)		
	21 (55.3)		
Uniportal VATS	8 (21.1)		
RATS	1 (2.6)		
Open	5 (13.2)		
Open with limited access	3 (7.9)		
Promotion of implementations in current hospital			
Yes	28 (73.7)		
No	10 (26.3)		
Field of implementation			
Surgical technique/device/postop management	26 (68.4)		
Communication/team working	6 (15.8)		
Relationship with patients and relatives	1 (2.6)		
Teaching/training	1 (2.6)		
Other	4 (10.5)		
Professional time dedicated to refresh guidelines/recommendations			
<5%			
6-10%	5 (13.2)		
11-15%	12 (31.6)		
16-20%	10 (26.3)		
>20%	5 (13 2)		
	6 (15.8)		
L	undes on page XXX		

Patirelis et al.

Continued from page XXX			
Table 1. Answers to web-based questionnaire			
Questions	Answers, n (%)		
How would you agree to the following plan: "Offer resection of a single metastasis from colorectal			
cancer in a 72 year old patient"			
1 (no agreement)	0 (0.0)		
2	1 (2.6)		
3	8 (21.1)		
4	5 (13.2)		
5 (strong agreement)	24 (63.2)		
How would you agree to the following plan: "Offer upfront left upper lobectomy for cT1b NSCLC with			
single PET-positive station 5 lymph node"			
1 (no agreement)	4 (10.5)		
2	4 (10.5)		
3	9 (23.7)		
4	9 (23.7)		
5 (strong agreement)	12 (31.6)		
How would you agree to the following sentence: "Adherence to guidelines should be always pursued			
whenever possible"			
1 (no agreement)	1 (2.6)		
2	3 (7.9)		
3	5 (13.2)		
4	18 (47.4)		
5 (strong agreement)	11 (28.9)		
How would you agree to the following sentence: "Adherence to guidelines might jeopardize surgeons'			
ability to address individual patient's needs"			
1 (no agreement)			
2	6 (15.8)		
3	6 (15.8)		
	14 (36.8)		
5 (strong agreement)	9 (23.7)		
	3 (7.9)		
How much your nospital is promoting and fostering adherence to guidelines?	7 (10 4)		
	7 (18.4) 5 (12.2)		
	5(13.2)		
Enougn A lot	14 (30.8)		
A lot Even too much	12 (31.0)		
How much do you think your country is promoting and fast oring adherence to guidelines?	0 (0.0)		
Not too much	7 (18 4)		
	6 (15 <u>8</u>)		
Enough	15 (39 5)		
	2 (33.3) 8 (31.1)		
Even too much	2 (5 3)		
FBM -evidence-based medicine PGY- nostgraduate training year RATS - robot-assisted thoracic surge	2 (3.3) ary VATS-video-		
assisted thoracic surgeny	ery, VAIS-VIUEU-		
מאוזיבים נווטומטר אמוצבו א			

Amongst factors correlated with wrong EBM definition, there were lower surgical volume (p=0.012), and poor attendance to international meetings (p=0.036).

Interestingly, more than 50% of participants felt that adherence to guidelines can jeopardize at some

extent the ability of addressing individual patients' needs. In addition, about 30-35% of participants revealed that their hospital or country do not promote or foster adherence to guidelines.

Participants who defined EBM properly were more likely to mark multiportal videothoracoscopy as the

preferred surgical approach. No other correlation was found (Table 2).

Table 2. Correlations between answers and ability to correctly define evidence -based medicine				
Variables	Definition of EBM		Cramer's V	р
	Correct (n=29)	Wrong (n=9)		
Age, years, n (%)			0.033	0.84
≤40	15 (51.7)	5 (55.6)		
≥41	14 (48.3)	4 (44.4)		
Position, n (%)			0.070	0.67
Associate specialist/Consultant	17 (58.6)	6 (66.7)		
Resident/Fellow/PGY 1-2	12 (41.4)	3 (33.3)		
International meetings per year, n (%)			0.34	0.036
<2	11 (37.9)	7 (77.8)		
≥2	18 (62.1)	2 (22.2)		
Periodical revalidation or appraisal of license, n (%)			0.22	0.17
Yes	14 (48.3)	2 (22.2)		
No	15 (51.7)	7 (77.8)		
Surgical operations per year as first operator, n (%)			0.31	0.012
≤60	12 (41.4)	8 (88.9)		
≥61	17 (58.6)	1 (11.1)		
Current hospital, n (%)			0.21	0.19
Academic	25 (86.2)	6 (66.7)		
Non-academic	4 (13.8)	3 (33.3)		
Mandatory training/updates, n (%)			0.30	0.067
Yes	16 (55.2)	8 (88.9)		
No	13 (44.9)	1 (11.1)		
Preferred approach for major lung resections, n (%)			0.14	0.40
Minimally invasive	22 (75.9)	8 (88.9)		
Open	7 (24.1)	1 (11.1)		
Promotion of implementations in current hospital,			0.089	0.58
n (%)				
Yes	22 (75.9)	6 (66.7)		
No	7 (24.1)	3 (33.3)		
Field of implementation, n (%)			0.15	0.34
Surgical technique/device/postop management	21 (72.4)	5 (55.6)		
Other	8 (27.6)	4 (44.4)		
Professional time dedicated to refresh			0.003	0.98
guidelines/recommendations, n (%)				
≤10%	13 (44.8)	4 (44.4)		
≥11%	16 (55.2)	5 (55.6)		
How would you agree to the following plan: "Offer			0.13	0.44
resection of a single metastasis from colorectal				
cancer in a 72 year old patient", n (%)				
1-3	6 (20.7)	3 (33.3)		
4-5	23 (79.3)	6 (66.7)		
How would you agree to the following plan: "Offer			0.003	0.98
upfront left upper lobectomy for cT1b NSCLC with				
single PET-positive station 5 lymph node", n (%)				
1-3	13 (44.8)	4 (44.4)		
4-5	16 (55.2)	5 (55.6)		
			Continues on p	age XXX

Continued from page XXX					
Table 2. Correlations between answers and ability to correctly define evidence -based medicine					
Variables	Definition of EBM		Cramer's V	р	
	Correct (n=29)	Wrong (n=9)			
How would you agree to the following sentence:			0.019	0.91	
"Adherence to guidelines should be always					
pursued whenever possible", n (%)					
1-3	7 (24.1)	2 (22.2)			
4-5	22 (75.9)	7 (77.8)			
How would you agree to the following sentence:			0.021	0.90	
"Adherence to guidelines might jeopardize					
surgeons' ability to address individual patient's					
needs", n (%)					
1-3	20 (69.0)	6 (66.7)			
4-5	9 (31.0)	3 (33.3)			
How much your hospital is promoting and			0.24	0.13	
fostering adherence to guidelines?, n (%)					
Not too much/a little bit	11 (37.9)	1 (11.1)			
Enough/a lot/ even too much	18 (62.1)	8 (88.9)			
How much do you think your country is promoting			0.27	0.095	
and fostering adherence to guidelines?, n (%)					
Not too much/a little bit	12 (41.4)	1 (11.1)			
Enough/a lot/ even too much	17 (58.6)	8 (88.9)			
EBM - evidence-based medicine, PGY - postgraduate training year, RATS - robot-assisted thoracic surgery, VATS -					
video-assisted thoracic surgery					

Discussion

Despite an EBM approach is currently being encouraged by an increasing number of institutions worldwide, there are still barriers to complete acceptance of it. Reluctance toward EBM is mainly related to intellectual misconceptions of true EBM objectives and limitations. Other factors are poor attitude to welcome changes in daily practice, lack of institutional support, skepticism on reliability of clinical study results, and others (3, 4).

We also reflected that, in surgical environment, the variability of attitude toward EBM practice might be much wider. Indeed, surgery - compared to other medical specialties - implies by its nature a higher degree of personalism, due to its strict link to individual skills (even including physical abilities). Even when not reaching levels of self-idolatry, it is expected that surgeons might be more reluctant to accept a revision of their clinical and behavioral fundaments. On the opposite, surgeons are more exposed to (and often more directly accountable for) doing harm to patients. For this reason, on one hand they pursue adherence to EBM recommendation as a guide lighthouse of their practice. On the other hand, many of them interpret EBM as a factor leading to depersonalization that might corrode the almost sacral relationship with patients.

The most interesting result of our survey is that a not negligible percentage of responders failed at marking the correct definition of EBM. In particular, the rate of wrong answer was higher amongst thoracic surgeons with smaller surgical volume and poor attendance to international meetings. This finding might suggest a stronger connection with EBM's principles amongst surgeons with a stronger proactive attitude. Yet another interesting point was the relationship between correct EBM definition and preference of multiportal videothoracoscopy approach, in lieu of alternatives whose advantages are still uncertain (single-port videothoracoscopy) or destined to obsolescence. This fact might suggest a correlation between evidence-based attitude and more adherence to universally shared surgical standards.

Study limitations

This preliminary study has different limitations to consider. First of all, only 25% of surgeons took part in the survey. This low response rate could limit the generalizability of the results due to possible selection bias (i.e. only surgeons interested in EBM could have answered the survey thus underestimating the results). Another limitation is the lack of validation for the questionnaire, which could not have really captured the attitude of surgeons towards EBM. Lastly, due to the reduced number of answers, we could not perform additional statistical analysis. **Conclusion**

Despite its preliminary nature, our survey suggests that more focus on EBM concepts should be put during surgical training. In this regard, it would be important to debunk the misconception of EBM as a dogmatic body of impersonal protocols. Educational initiatives should highlight that attention to individual patients' needs is instead a basic concept of EBM itself. Adoption of web-based resources to facilitate surgeons' access to guidelines and recommendations would also be welcome and might help viewing at EBM as a flexible and supportive tool rather than a professional imprisonment. sort of Further investigations with an externally validated questionnaire involving a wider group of surgeons are warranted to better address these points, and to monitor changes over time.

Ethics: This study does not involve patients nor animal models. We consulted with the Internal Review Board who determined that this study did not need ethical approvalInformed consent was obtained from participants of survey. Peer-review- External and internal Conflict of interest- None to declare Authorship: Conceptualization - F.T.; Methodology: F. T., A.P., G.V.; Formal analysis and investigation - F.T.;

T., A.P., G.V.; Formal analysis and investigation - F.T.; A.P.; Writing - original draft preparation: F.T., A. P., G.V.; Writing - review and editing: F.T., V.A.; Supervision: V.A. Thus, all authors fulfilled all authorship criteria for publication.

Acknowledgement and Funding: None to declare Statement on A.I.-assisted technologies use-Authors declare that they did not use AI-assisted technologies in preparation of this manuscript Availability of data and material: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article

References

1.Djulbegovic B, Guyatt GH. Progress in evidencebased medicine: a quarter century on. Lancet 2017; 390: 415-23.doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31592-6

2.Akobeng AK. Principles of evidence based medicine . Arch Dis Child 2005; 90: 837-40. doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.071761

3.Abu-Odah H, Said NB, Nair SC, Allsop MJ, Currow DC, Salah MS, et al. Identifying barriers and facilitators of translating research evidence into clinical practice: a systematic review of reviews. Health Soc Care Community 2022; 0: e3265-76. doi: 10.1111/hsc.13898.

4.Greenhalgh T, Howick J, Maskrey N. Evidence based medicine: a movement in crisis? BMJ 2014; 348: g37255 doi: 10.1136/bmj.g3725

5.Sharma A, Duc NTM, Thang TLM, Nam NH, Ng SJ, Abbas KS, et al. A consensus-based checklist for reporting of survey studies (CROSS). J Gen Int Med 2021; 36: 3179-87. doi: 10.1007/s11606-021-06737-1